November 6, 2025

Mélanie Joly
Minister of Industry
House of Commons
Ottawa, Ontario
K1A 0A6

Evan Solomon
Minister of Artificial Intelligence and Digital Innovation
House of Commons
Ottawa, Ontario
K1A 0A6

Government of Canada AI Strategy Task Force
Innovation, Science and Economic Development Canada

Dear Minister Joly, Minister Solomon, and Members of the Government of Canada AI Strategy Task Force,

Re: Civil Society and Human Rights Groups Reject "National Sprint" on AI Strategy

We, the undersigned civil society, human rights, and civil liberties organizations, academics, advocates, and representatives of equity-seeking communities, write to protest and reject the deeply misguided and wrongheaded approach to public consultation demonstrated by the government's thirty-day "national sprint" on Canada's artificial intelligence ("AI") strategy.

We call on Minister Joly, Minister Solomon, and Innovation, Science and Economic Development Canada ("ISED") to do the following:

1. **Extend the consultation deadline to February 2, 2026;**
2. **Reconstitute the Task Force into a more equitably representative one that is equipped to confront the ongoing threats of AI to people and communities; and**
3. **Rewrite the survey into a more legitimate and unbiased consultation instrument.**

The current consultation process suggests serious disregard for the Canadian public's known and wide-ranging concerns about the demonstrated risks and harms of technologies currently classified as AI. This impression arises from the contrived urgency imposed by the short timeline for submitting informed views on a topic as complex and consequential as AI; the leading language, predetermined framing, and prioritization of business and economic interests in the associated survey; and the lack of human rights, civil liberties, and similar representatives on the AI Strategy Task Force (the "Task Force").

Not all signatories of this letter may agree on every point below or share identical positions regarding AI-related issues or how such issues should be tackled; however, we are united in opposition to this consultation process. We jointly refuse to participate in and validate what appears to be a disingenuous attempt to claim public legitimacy for an outcome already decided from behind closed doors.

Minister Solomon has stated he intends to depart from "over-indexing" on harm prevention when it comes to AI. This suggests a troubling lack of understanding of the wide-ranging and well documented harms these technologies pose, which any meaningful national strategy would need to take into account.

While AI may have beneficial impacts in specific use cases, the question is not whether a particular technology has any use at all, but whether its deployment *justifies the cost*—whether human, environmental, or democratic. That is a complex, multifaceted, and interdisciplinary question, responding to which with meaningful written input requires more than a thirty-day window on short notice.

Specifically, below is a non-exhaustive list of some of the many known negative impacts, human rights violations, human psychological costs, and society-wide risks of unregulated deployment of various AI-based technologies that have already emerged to date, with particular focus on generative AI and algorithmic decision-making systems:

- **Compounding socioeconomic inequality and erosion of labour rights:** AI is built on the backs of underpaid and traumatized workers, including through invisible ghost workers which make AI tools minimally functional; hidden human labour disguised as AI; and the exploitation of creative labour that is central to generative AI. These technologies also erode labour rights and exacerbate workplace power imbalances by normalizing wage theft through algorithmic wage discrimination; violating workers' rights to privacy and health and safety through "bossware", algorithmic management, and ubiquitous surveillance; and through discriminatory AI-based job hiring systems. At the same time, automated welfare algorithms have ruined lives through biased, arbitrary and mistaken fraud detection, to the point they were ruled a human rights violation in the Netherlands.
- **Grave environmental and climate impacts:** AI data centres require extraordinary amounts of water and energy, leading to projected exponential increases in demand, causing a resurgence of the fossil fuels industry and even reopening the Three Mile Island nuclear plant. Local communities where data centres are built get hit the hardest, such as through skyrocketing home utility bills and exacerbated drought conditions. Interest in using AI to expand resource extraction has also led to partnerships between 'big tech' and fossil fuel companies, resulting in further environmental harm.
- **Colonization, dispossession, and erasure of Indigenous peoples and their rights:** AI development has extractively appropriated traditional knowledge and cultural intellectual property as training data while stripping it of nation-specific history and context; spread disinformation involving misrepresentation and "flattening" of Indigenous peoples, cultures, and art as interchangeable; infringed on Indigenous data sovereignty;

and trampled over Indigenous rights to their own lands in favour of environmentally taxing AI data centres.

- **Automated racism across society:** Algorithmic decision-making tools have automated bias against Black, Indigenous, and other racialized communities, with harmful repercussions across numerous areas of life, including deficient health care, discriminatory educational guidance, lost rental housing, denied mortgages, questionable immigration decisions, wrongful arrests by law enforcement, and unjust treatment by the criminal legal system. Meanwhile, generative AI programs routinely respond to users' prompts with both explicit racism and more subtle racial bias. Algorithmic racism takes on an additional weighty dimension when the implications are applied to autonomous weapons systems, whether in the context of security operations, armed conflict, or law enforcement.
- **Intensified misogyny and gender-based violence, abuse and harassment:** Men and boys, as well as state actors, are using generative AI and other AI-based apps to create pornographic deepfakes and other non-consensual intimate images and videos of women and girls—including students or classmates in cities across Canada, and female journalists, politicians, and human rights defenders—violating their fundamental rights to sexual privacy and autonomy, bodily integrity, and equality, while infringing on their freedom of expression and ability to fully participate in democracy and society.
- **Marginalization and exclusion of LGBTQ2SIA+ individuals:** Facial analysis and "gender recognition" systems are inaccurate, reductive, and biased when it comes to gender identity; predatory data grabs take deeply personal transition videos to train surveillance algorithms; AI researchers have attempted to "predict" strangers' sexual orientation without consent; and users leverage generative AI to promote dehumanizing, homophobic, and transphobic hate and violence across social media.
- **Fundamental threats to mental health and cognitive well-being:** Multiple cases have emerged of teenagers dying by suicide in incidents tied to extended interactions with dark-impulse-encouraging chatbots; medical professionals are contending with the growing phenomenon of chatbot-induced delusion, paranoia, and breaks with reality, colloquially termed "AI psychosis"; and studies have shown the potential decline of critical thinking and related skills among students and others who rely on large language models ("LLMs") to complete academic or similar work.
- **Privacy risks, mass surveillance, and security vulnerabilities:** People's private thoughts input into Grok and ChatGPT have been leaked through Google Search; Signal president Meredith Whittaker has described "agentic AI" as a profound threat to personal privacy and encrypted messaging; "Potemkin AI" companies market products as AI while secretly having human workers go through customers' emails, voicemails, and calendar entries; and critical security flaws have emerged such as a "zero-click" exploit in Microsoft's Copilot, and Anthropic exposing developers to remote attacks. Meanwhile, law enforcement and national security agencies have leveraged AI to develop and expand surveillance and analytics tools, and governments have consistently attempted to exclude AI for national security purposes from regulation, despite the clear potential for human rights violations.
- **Implicit promotion of eugenics and disability exclusion:** Leading scholars and technology experts and critics have laid out the eugenicist roots of prominent AI evangelists and a resulting agenda and rhetoric that implicitly advocates for allocating

power over the future based on a narrow notion of intelligence, at the expense of those bearing the brunt of harmful AI systems today. This tendency, described as "phrenology with math", dovetails with broader exclusion of the disability movement from AI development and governance, despite issues such as health insurance discrimination, self-driving vehicles not recognizing wheelchair-users or blind pedestrians, and the difficulty of coding algorithms for differing models of disability and its shifting nature relative to societal norms and acceptance.

- **Collapse of functional information environment:** LLMs are notorious for providing inaccurate, misleading, or wholly fabricated text held out as reliable information or expertise, yet people increasingly turn to them as credible sources. Generative AI applications such as Sora 2 have given rise to relentless waves of "AI slop" (in the case of Facebook, encouraged and paid for by the platform itself) and false images and videos across the Internet, constituting a "brute force attack" on reality, turbocharging existing disinformation harms—including to democracy in Canada and elsewhere—and bolstering the stock value of the liar's dividend.

In this era of AI's demonstrated detriment to society and historically marginalized populations, applying a "move fast and break things" ethos to a "national strategy" flies in the face of any principled commitment to responsible AI regulation, human rights, societal justice, democratic participation, or building trust with civil society. Polls and surveys have suggested that at least half, and up to 85 per cent of, the people in Canada "see AI as a threat", want government regulation over AI technologies, or are concerned with AI's societal and environmental impacts.

That the government would insist on undue haste without first meaningfully reckoning with the myriad repercussions above—or providing time for resource-constrained stakeholders to reckon with them in their submissions—is unconscionable. All the more so that it is precisely many of the vulnerable communities disproportionately harmed by AI who most lack representation on the Task Force.

We have been here before. Experts and advocates focused on human rights and AI, who have engaged with the government in good faith despite a similarly flawed consultation on the proposed *Artificial Intelligence and Data Act* (AIDA) in the now-expired Bill C-27, have had enough.

In light of all of the above, we reiterate our above call for Minister Joly, Minister Solomon, and ISED to:

1. **Extend the consultation deadline to February 2, 2026;**
2. **Reconstitute the Task Force into a more equitably representative one that is equipped to confront the ongoing threats of AI to people and communities; and**
3. **Rewrite the survey into a more legitimate and unbiased consultation instrument.**

In the meantime, Canadian civil society rejects this pseudo-consultation as a facade for manufacturing consent for a harmful preordained agenda, and declines to participate.

We will instead be hosting an independent and separate process: the *People's Consultation on AI.* If the Ministers, Task Force, and the rest of the Canadian government are truly invested in integrating informed and substantive views on AI from the public, submissions from participating organizations and/or individuals will be made available on **February 2, 2026**, on a publicly available website (the specific location of which will be announced in the upcoming months).

SIGNED BY:

Organizations

1. Action Canada for Sexual Health and Rights
2. Adrianne Yiu Coaching & Consulting
3. Alliance du personnel professionnel et technique de la santé et des services sociaux du Québec (APTS)
4. Amnesty International Canadian Section (English-speaking)
5. Amnistie internationale Canada francophone
6. Artificial Intelligence Monitor for Immigration in Canada and Internationally (AIMICI)
7. British Columbia Civil Liberties Association (BCCLA)
8. Canadian Anti-Stalking Association (CASA)
9. Canadian Center for Women Empowerment
10. Canadian Centre for Policy Alternatives
11. Canadian Council of Muslim Women (CCMW)
12. Canadian Friends Service Committee (Quakers)
13. Centre for Civic Governance
14. Centre for Climate Justice, University of British Columbia
15. Centre for Free Expression
16. Citizens for Public Justice
17. DAWN Canada
18. Disability Justice Network of Ontario
19. Ending Sexual Violence Association of Canada
20. Freedom of Information and Privacy Association
21. International Civil Liberties Monitoring Group
22. Just Peace Advocates/Mouvement Pour Une Paix Juste
23. Ligue des droits et libertés
24. NicheMTL
25. OCASI – Ontario Council of Agencies Serving Immigrants
26. OpenMedia
27. PEN Canada
28. Privacy & Access Council of Canada
29. Réseau québécois de l'action communautaire autonome (RQ-ACA)
30. SCFP 3535
31. Show Up Toronto
32. South Asian Legal Clinic of Ontario
33. Start Point Organization
34. Tech Workers Coalition Canada

35. Technologists for Democracy
36. The Canadian BDS Coalition and International BDS Allies
37. The Centre for Community Organizations (COCo)
38. Women's Centre for Social Justice – WomenatthecentrE
39. Women's Legal Education and Action Fund (LEAF)
40. Women's Shelters Canada / Hebergement femmes Canada
41. YWCA Canada

Individuals

1. Adam Molnar, Associate Professor, University of Waterloo
2. Aja Mason, Boreal Logic Inc
3. Alana Lajoie-O'Malley, Dalhousie University
4. Alayna Kolodziechuk, Director, initio Tech and Innovation Law Clinic at Schulich School of Law Dalhousie University
5. Alberto Lusoli, Toronto Metropolitan University
6. Alex Megelas, Concordia University Applied AI Institute
7. Ana Brandusescu, McGill University
8. Andrew Clement, Professor Emeritus, University of Toronto
9. Andrew Do, OPEIU Tech Workers Union Local 1010
10. Anis Rahman, Assistant Teaching Professor, Department of Communication, University of Washington, Seattle, United States
11. Anne Pasek, Trent University
12. Dr Aren Roukema
13. Bhaskar Mitra, Independent Researcher
14. Bill Hearn, HearnLaw
15. Blayne Haggart, Professor of Political Science, Brock University
16. Caitlin Heppner, PhD Candidate, University of Ottawa
17. Carina Albrecht, Institute for Advanced Study
18. Prof Christoph Becker, Faculty of Information, University of Toronto
19. Claudia Fiore-Leduc
20. Corina MacDonald, Concordia University
21. Cynthia Khoo, Lawyer, Tekhnos Law / Senior Fellow, Citizen Lab
22. Daniel J. Paré, Associate Professor, University of Ottawa
23. Daniel Keyes, Department of English and Cultural Studies, University of British Columbia Okanagan
24. David Bugaresti
25. Derek Hrynyshyn
26. Dori Do
27. Astrida Neimanis, Department of English and Cultural Studies, UBC Okanagan
28. Bita Amani, Queen's University, Faculty of Law
29. Elizabeth Block
30. Emile Dirks, Senior Research Associate at The Citizen Lab
31. Emily Truman, PhD, Research Program Coordinator and Data Analyst, Department of Communication, Media and Film, Faculty of Arts, University of Calgary
32. Emily Veysey, University of New Brunswick

33. Enda Brophy, School of Communication, Simon Fraser University
34. Erin Whitmore, Consultant & Registered Social Worker
35. Evan Light, Faculty of Information, University of Toronto
36. evelyn tischer
37. Fenwick McKelvey, Concordia University
38. Francky Franck
39. Gabrielle Lim
40. Gideon Christian, University Research Chair (AI and Law), Faculty of Law, University of Calgary
41. Gustavo Ferreira, Assistant Professor, teaching stream, University of Toronto
42. Gwendolyn Blue, University of Calgary
43. Hana Darling-Wolf, graduate student University of Toronto
44. Heather McLeod-Kilmurray
45. Heather Morrison
46. Irina Ceric, Assistant Professor, University of Windsor Faculty of Law
47. Jaigris Hodson, Royal Roads University
48. Jamie Liew, University of Ottawa, Faculty of Law
49. Jane Bailey, Full Professor, University of Ottawa Faculty of Law
50. Jason Hannan, University of Winnipeg
51. Jeff Doctor, Animikii Indigenous Technology
52. Jeff Heydon, Wilfrid Laurier University
53. Jennifer Pybus, York University
54. Jennifer Raso, Assistant Professor, Faculty of Law, McGill University
55. Jessica Dubé, IRSST
56. Joanna Redden, Associate Professor Western University
57. John Packer, Faculty of Law and Member, Human Rights Research and Education Centre, University of Ottawa
58. Jonathan Wald, Centre for Engineering in Society, Concordia University
59. Jorge Frozzini, UQAC
60. Karen Smith, Associate Professor, Brock University
61. Karine Gentelet, Professor, Université du Québec en Outaouais
62. Katherine Reilly, Associate Professor, School of Communication, Simon Fraser University
63. Katie Szilagyi, Assistant Professor, Faculty of Law, University of Manitoba
64. Kean Birch, Ontario Research Chair in Science Policy, York University
65. Kenneth Werbin, Associate Professor, Wilfrid Laurier University
66. Kit Chokly, PhD Student in Communication Studies, McGill University
67. Kristen Thomasen, Senior Chair in Law, Robotics, and Society and Associate Professor, Windsor Law
68. Léo Bourgeois, Junior Staff Lawyer, initio Technology and Innovation Law Clinic, Schulich School of Law at Dalhousie University
69. Leslie Regan Shade, Professor Emerita, Faculty of Information, University of Toronto
70. Leslie Salgado, PhD Candidate University of Calgary
71. Professor Lisa Austin, Jackman Faculty of Law, University of Toronto
72. Lucie Guibault, Dalhousie University
73. Lucy Suchman, Professor Emerita

74. Luke Stark, Faculty of Information and Media Studies, Western University
75. Madalyn Hay
76. Marcel O'Gorman
77. Marina Pavlovic, Associate Professor, University of Ottawa, Faculty of Law, Common Law Section
78. Mark Cauchi, Department of Humanities, York University
79. Martha Jackman, Professor emerita, Faculty oof Law, University of Ottawa
80. Matthew Tegelberg, Associate Professor at York University
81. Mél Hogan, Associate Professor, Film & Media Studies, Queen's University
82. Melissa Adler
83. Natasha Goel, University of Toronto
84. Natasha Malik, PhD Candidate at McMaster University
85. Natasha Tusikov, Associate Professor, York University
86. Nathaniel Laywine, York University
87. Nicholas Fazio, York University
88. Nick Gertler
89. Noah Davis, initio Technology & Innovation Law Clinic
90. Noura Aljizawi, Senior Researcher at the Citizen Lab, University of Toronto
91. Ozgun Topak, Associate Professor, York University.
92. Paris Marx, Tech Won't Save Us
93. Patrick McCurdy, Professor, University of Ottawa
94. Phil Rose
95. Prem Sylvester, Simon Fraser University
96. Prof Valerie Steeves
97. Renée Sieber, Professor, McGill University
98. Robert W Gehl, Ontario Research Chair of Digital Governance for Social Justice, York University
99. Roch Tassé , ex-national coordinator, International Civil Liberties Monitoring Group
100. Ronald J. Deibert, O.C., O.O., Professor of Political Science and Director of the Citizen Lab, The Munk School, University of Toronto
101. Rosel Kim, Lawyer
102. Rowland Lorimer
103. Ryan J Phillips
104. Sara Bannerman, Canada Research Chair in Communication Policy and Governance, Professor, McMaster University
105. Sawndra Skjerven
106. Scott DeJong, Concordia University
107. Shalaleh Rismani, McGill University
108. Shoshana Magnet, Professor, University of Ottawa
109. Siobhan O'Flynn, Assistant Professor, Teaching Stream, Canadian Studies Program, University of Toronto
110. Sophie Toupin, Université Laval
111. Stefanie Duguay, Associate Professor and Chair in Digital Intimacy, Gender and Sexuality
112. Stuart Poyntz, Simon Fraser University

113. Suzie Dunn, Assistant Professor, Dalhousie University Schulich School of Law, Director of the Law and Technology Institute
114. Tamara Shepherd, Associate Professor, University of Calgary
115. Thomas Wilson (MA student), SFU School of Communication
116. Tracey P. Lauriault, Associate Professor, Critical Media and Big Data, School of Journalism and Communication, Carleton University
117. Tracy Valcourt, Concordia University
118. Ümit Kiziltan
119. Vanessa T, Individual
120. Vasanthi Venkatesh, Associate Professor Faculty of Law University of Windsor
121. Vincent Wong, Assistant Professor University of Windsor
122. Xavier Parent-Rocheleau, Associate Professor, HEC Montréal
123. Yuan Stevens, Data & Society Research Institute; Independent Research Consultant and Advisor